# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

VERSUS TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	Civil Action No. 04-1231 (SLR)
RADIANSE, INC.,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

# PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Plaintiff, Versus Technology, Inc. ('Plaintiff'') respectfully submits this Reply to defendant, Radianse, Inc.'s ("Defendant") Second Amended Counterclaim ("Counterclaim").

### **REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM**

- 1. Plaintiff admits that the Court has jurisdiction over the Counterclaim. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 1.
  - 2. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 2.
  - 3. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 3.
  - 4. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 4.
  - 5. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 5.
  - 6. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 6.
  - 7. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 7.
  - 8. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 8.
  - 9. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 9.
  - 10. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 10.

- 11. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 11.
- 12. Plaintiff admits the allegations of paragraph 12.
- 13. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 13.
- 14. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 14.
- 15. Plaintiff lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 15 and therefore denies the allegations of paragraph 15.
  - 16. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 16.
  - 17. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 17.
  - 18. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 18.
  - 19. Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 19.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that:

- a) Defendants take nothing by way of their Counterclaim;
- b) The Court deny the declaratory judgments requested by the Counterclaim;
- c) Plaintiff be awarded the relief sought in the Complaint; and
- d) The Court award Plaintiff such other relief as is just and proper.

This Reply filed the 18<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2005.

/s/ James M. Lennon

George Pazuniak (DE #478) Gerard M. O'Rourke (DE #3265)

James M. Lennon (DE #4570)

CONNOLLY, BOVE, LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, DE 19899

Telephone: (302) 658-9141

Counsel for Plaintiff, Versus Technology, Inc.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 18, 2005, I electronically filed this PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, and served the following by the manner so indicated:

## By Hand, Email and CM/ECF notification:

Josy W. Ingersoll (#1088) jingersoll@ycst.com YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR LLP The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street, 17th Floor P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899-0391 (302) 571-6600 Counsel for Defendant, Radianse, Inc.

### By Email:

Sibley P. Reppert spr@lahive.com LAHIVE & COCKFIELD 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109-1784 (617) 227-7400 Co-Counsel for Defendant, Radianse, Inc.

DATE: April 18, 2005 /s/ James M. Lennon

George Pazuniak (DE #478) Gerard M. O'Rourke (DE #3265) James M. Lennon (DE #4570)

CONNOLLY, BOVE, LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, DE 19899 Telephone: (302) 658-9141

Counsel for Plaintiff, Versus Technology, Inc.